

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARTIN LEE FOSTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-01212-JDP (PC)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS
CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE
TO STATE A CLAIM

RESPONSE DUE WITHIN TWENTY-ONE
DAYS

On November 9, 2021, I screened plaintiff's complaint, notified him that it failed to state a claim, and gave him sixty days to file an amended complaint. ECF No. 7. To date, plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.


To manage its docket effectively, the court imposes deadlines on litigants and requires litigants to meet those deadlines. The court may dismiss a case for plaintiff's failure to prosecute or failure to comply with its orders or local rules. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); *Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv.*, 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to administer justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. *See Pagtalunan v. Galaza*, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Plaintiff will be given a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the case for his failure to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff's failure to respond to this order will constitute

1 a failure to comply with a court order and will result in a recommendation that this action be
2 dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case
3 should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to state a claim. Should plaintiff wish
4 to continue with this lawsuit, he shall file, within twenty-one days, an amended complaint.

5
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 Dated: January 21, 2022


9 JEREMY D. PETERSON
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28